

An International Multidisciplinary Quarterly Research Journal

Peer Reviewed Referred and UGC Listed Journal

Volume - VII, Issue - III, July - September - 2018 ISSN 2277 - 5730



Impact Factor - 5.5 (www.sjifactor.com)
Is Hereby Awarding This Certificate To
Dr. Mangesh Panchal

As a Recognition of the Publication of the Paper Entitled

An Analytical Study of Threats to Consumer in Online Banking Transaction in India



Rienta Prakashan

Lesingura, Near University Gate,
Autrangabed (M.S.) 431 004

Wob. No. 9579250877, 9822620877

Tel. No. (0240) 2400877,

ajanta1977@gmail.com, www.ajantaprakashan.com

Editor: Vinay S. Hatole

Certified as TRUE COPY

Ramniranjan Jhunjhunwala College, Ghatkopar (W), Mambai-400086. Peer Reviewed Referred and UGC Listed Journal (Journal No. 40776)







ISSN 2277 - 5730 AN INTERNATIONAL MULTIDISCIPLINARY QUARTERLY RESEARCH JOURNAL

Certified as TRUE COPY

Principal
Ramniranjan Jhunjhunwala College,
Ghatkopar (W), Mumbai-400086.

AJANTA

Volume - VII, Issue - III July - September - 2018 IMPACT FACTOR / INDEXING 2018 - 5.5 www.sjifactor.com



ISSN 2277 - 5730 AN INTERNATIONAL MULTIDISCIPLINARY QUARTERLY RESEARCH JOURNAL

AJANTA

Volume - VII

Issue - III

July - September - 2018

Peer Reviewed Referred and UGC Listed Journal

Journal No. 40776



ज्ञान-विज्ञान विमुक्तये

IMPACT FACTOR / INDEXING 2018 - 5.5 www.sjifactor.com

❖ EDITOR ❖

Asst. Prof. Vinay Shankarrao Hatole M.Sc (Maths), M.B.A. (Mktg.), M.B.A. (H.R.), M.Drama (Acting), M.Drama (Prod. & Dir.), M.Ed.

❖ PUBLISHED BY ❖

あ

Ajanta Prakashan

Aurangabad. (M.S.)

Certified as

Principal
Rammiranjan Jhunjhunwala College,
Ghatkopar (W), Mambai-400086.

The information and views expressed and the research content published in this journal, the sole responsibility lies entirely with the author(s) and does not reflect the official opinion of the Editorial Board, Advisory Committee and the Editor in Chief of the Journal "AJANTA". Owner, printer & publisher Vinay S. Hatole has printed this journal at Ajanta Computer and Printers, Jaisingpura, University Gate, Aurangabad, also Published the same at Aurangabad.

Printed by

Ajanta Computer, Near University Gate, Jaisingpura, Aurangabad. (M.S.)

Published by:

Ajanta Prakashan, Near University Gate, Jaisingpura, Aurangabad. (M.S.)

Cell No.: 9579260877, 9822620877, Ph.No.: (0240) 2400877

E-mail: ajanta1977@gmail.com, www.ajantaprakashan.com

AJANTA - ISSN 2277 - 5730 - Impact Factor - 5.5 (www.sjifactor.com)

Certified as

Principal
Ramniranjan Jhunjhunwala College,
Ghatkopar (W), Mumbai-400086.

SOUTH S SOUTH S SOUTH SOUT

Sr. No.	Title and Author's Name	Page No.
1	River Shifting in Duars, West Bengal: An Environmental Risk	1-6
	Prof. Dr. Moushumi Datta	
2	Managing Construction Project Risks in Steel Plants - A Remedial	7-17
	Approach	
	Susmit Roy	
3	Selection of FMCG and Pharmaceutical Companies to Mitigate	18-24
	the Risk of Lower Portfolio Returns in the Bear Market	
2	CA Dr. Reena S. Desai	
4	Impact of Implementation of Ind AS 109 (Financial Instruments)	25-32
	on Banks and NBFCs in India with Special Focus on Impairment	
1	Provisions	
	G. Vinod and CASindhu Menon	
5	Risk in Comparability of Financial Reports due to Fair Value	33-38
	Accounting-An Indicative Comparison Within Automobile Industry	
	CA Ajit Joshi and CARajul Murudkar	
6	An Analytical Study of Threats to Consumers in Online Banking	39-47
	Transaction in India	
	Dr. Mangesh Panchal	
7	Risk Assessment of Fire Incidents over the Forests of Trikuta hills and	48-53
	Benog WildLife Sanctuary	
)	Shweta Pandey	
8	Attrition Risk and Retention Strategies adopted by the Life	54-60
	Insurance Companies	
	Preeta Rejoy	
9	An Empirical Study on Relationship between Foreign Portfolio	61-67
	Investments and Stock Market	
	CADr. Varsha Ainapure and Geetha Iyer	

Certified as TRUE COPY

Principal
Ramuiranjan Jhunjhunwala College,
Ghatkopar (W), Mumbai-400086.

6. AN ANALYTICAL STUDY OF THREATS TO CONSUMERS IN ONLINE BANKING TRANSACTION IN INDIA

Dr. Mangesh Vasudeo Nirmala Panchal Ramniranjan Jhunjhunwala College of Arts, Science and Commerce, Ghatkopar (West), Mumbai.

Abstract

The transfer of funds from one party to another over electronic medium is known as electronic payment. Electronic Banking is also known as E-Banking, PC Banking, Online Banking, Internet Banking, and Mobile Banking. On one hand internet banking has made the lives of people very convenient but on the other hand, internet banking is not free from risks and threats. In the present research paper, the researcher has studied the various threats to the consumer in online banking transaction in India. For testing the hypotheses, researcher has used One-Sample T Test.

Keywords: E-banking, Online Payments, Risk, Threats

1.Introduction

Online Banking is also known as internet banking, e-banking or virtual banking. It is an electronic payment system that enables customers of a bank or other financial institution to conduct a wide range of financial transactions through the financial institution's website. There are various modes of online financial transactions such as NEFT, RTGS, ECS, IMPS.

Statement of Problem

There are various online threats to consumers.

Objectives of the Research Paper

The objective of the present study is as follows:

To study the threats to consumers in online banking transactions in India.

Hypothesis of the Study

The hypothesis of the present study is as follows:

Hypotheses (1)

Null Hypothesis (H0): There are not significant threats to consumers in online banking transactions in India.

Certified as TRUE COPY

ENGLISH / Pear Reviewed Referred and UGC Listed Journal

1391

Principal Symiranjan Jhunjhunwala College, Charkopar (W) hai-400086. Alternative Hypothesis (H1): There are significant threats to consumers in online banking transactions in India.

Significance of the Study

This study will help to know the various threats to consumers in online banking transactions in India.

Research Methodoly

The study is conducted to obtain data on threats to consumers in online banking transactions in India. In the present study, the researcher has used both methods of data collection primary methods and secondary method.

Primary Method of Data Collection

In primary method, researcher has collected data from 71 respondents. Data was collected from the sample by using Google forms on internet. The structured questionnaire was designed for the same to collect data (responses) from the sample.

Secondary Method of Data Collection

The secondary data for the study were based on Annual reports, Newspapers, Journalsand research papers.

Limitations of the Study

In the present research study, researcher has used convenience sampling method to select the samples from the populations. Convenience sampling is a non-probability sampling technique where respondents are selected because of their convenient accessibility and proximity to the researcher. Due to time constraint, data is collected from only 71 respondents.

Interpretation of the Study

Sample Profile

In the Table No. 2.1, researcher has presented details of the respondents according to their gender wise distribution.

Table No. 1.1: Gender wise distribution of respondents

Gender	Frequency	Percent		
Female	45	63.4		
Male	26	36.6		
Total	71	100		

Sources: Compiled from Primary Data

Certified as TRUE COPY

ENGLISH / Peer Reviewed Referred and UGC Listed Journal

ncipal Ramniranjan Jhunjhunwala College, Ghatkopar (W), Mumbai-400086.

Scanned by CamScanner

Table No. 1.1 reveals the number of respondents with respect to gender.

In the table No. 1.2, the researcher has presented details of the respondents according to their

Table No. 1.2: Age (Years)

15-25	Frequency	Percent
26-35	24	33.8
36-45	24	33.8
46 -55	15	21.1
56-65	5	7
66 and Above	1	1.4
Total	2	2.8
Primary Data	71	100

Table No. 1.2 reveals age wise distribution of respondents.

In the Table No. 1.3, researcher has presented detailsof respondents about their marital status.

Table No. 1.3: Marital Status

-	Frequency	Percent
Married	43	60.6
Jnmarried	28	39.4
Total	71	100

Sources: Compiled from Primary Data

Table No. 1.3 reveals marital status of the respondents.

In the Table No. 1.4, researcher has presented details of the respondents about their education level.

Table No. 1.4: Qualification

Qualification	Frequency	Percent
Up-to SSC	1	1.4
HSC	1	1.4
Under Graduate	6	8.5
Graduate	4	5.6
Post Graduate	38	53.5
Professional	11	15.5
Ph.D.	10	14.1
TOTAL	71	100

Sources: Compiled from Primary Data

ENGLIST Programmed Referred and UGC Listed Journal

1411

Table No. 1.4 reveals educational level of respondents.

In the Table No. 1.5, researcher has presented details of the respondents according to their occupation.

Table No. 1.5: Occupation wise distribution of respondents

Occupation	Frequency	Percent
	4	5.6
Unemployed	16	22.5
Student (not working) Salaried	37	52.1
Self-employed (Business)	4	5.6
Profession	10	14.1
Total	71	100

Source: Compiled from Primary Data

Table No. 1.5 reveals occupation wise distribution of the respondents.

In the next table researcher has presented details of the respondents according to their monthly income in (Rs).

Table No. 1.7: Monthly Income (Rs)

Income in Rs.	Frequency	Percent	
None	21	29.6	
Up to 15,000	6	8.5	
15,001 - 25,000	3	4.2	
25,001-50,000	11	15.5	
50,001 - 75,000	17	23.9	
75,001 and above	13	18.3	
Total	71	100	

Source: Compiled from Primary Data .

Table No. 1.7 revealsmonthly income of respondents per month (in Rs).

Analysis of Data of the Present Study

To study the various threats to consumers in online banking transactions in India, the respondents were asked to express their views on the five point threats scale. The codes for which are given below.

SA = Strongly

Agree =5

Agree =4

Neutral =3

Certified as

Ramniranjan Jhunjhunwala College, Ghatkopar (W), Mumbai-400086.

ENGLISH / Peer Reviewed Referred and UGC Listed Journal

SD = Strongly Disagree =1

The details of responses are given in the following table.

Table No. 1.8: Threats to Consumers in Online Banking To

Threats to consumers in onlin				1111	Inlin	ie Bai	nkir	ıg Tra	nsac	tion	s in I	ndi		
banking transactions in India	e		7		Ag	ree	T	Neutr	al		sagre			
In India, use of ATMs, Credit		F	%		F	%	_	-	1/6	F	%		F	SD
Debit, and Smart Cards is very		43	60.6	5 2	24	33.8			.2		1-	+	$\frac{\mathbf{r}}{1}$	%
common in e-Banking	1				1		1						1	1.4
The use of ATMs, Smart Cards a	nd	14	19.7	4_	_						-			
Debit Cards are subject to risk of	.	17	19./	2	8	39.4	1	8 25	.4	10	14.	1	1	1.4
monetary loss.										Si .				•••
Internet banking or e-banking is r	ot	10	14.1	23	+	20.	_						~	
safe in India	- 1		1 T, Q	2.5)	32.4	23	3 32.	4	12	16.9	9	3	4.2
In India, Most of the people are		17	23.9	37	,	52.1	10							
afraid of the use of e-banking due	to		-0.5	3/	-	02.1	12	2 16.	9	5	7			
security concerns.														
If our smart card falls in the wrong	3 2	28	39.4	28	+	9.4	9	12,	-	_				
hands or if it is stolen, our money	is	1				7.4	9	12.		5	7	1	.	1.4
at risk.	1												1	
If information regarding our	4	7	56.2	19	2	6.8	5	7	-	+			_	
password or the pin number of the							J	'						
banking transactions are leaked or	1										• (
hacked, hackers can gain access to			1											
our account										ľ				
It is very difficult to prove	16	5 2	2.5	34	47	.9 1	15	21.1	4		5.6	2	+-	.8
monetary frauds committed on the											0.0	-	1 -	.0
internet.														
Cyber Frauds are increasing day by	25	35	5.2	39	54	.9	6	8.5	1	-	1.4		+	-
day in context of monetary frauds				Ī		1								1
in online payment.							1			-				
The Indian Law dealing with cyber	15	21	.1 3	32	45.	1 1	9	26.8	4	5	5.6	1	1.4	4
frauds is not satisfactory or			8-			- -						_		•
effective			1							i				1
The penetration of internet and	18	25.	4 3	2	45.	1 1	6	22.5	3	4	.2	2	2.8	
knowledge related to internet are				1								1		
major hurdles			1	ţ							1			

ewed Referred and UGC Listed Journal

1431

VOLUME - VII, ISSUE - III, JULY - SEPTEMBER - 2018 AJANTA - ISSN 2277 - 5730 - IMPACT FACTOR - 5.5 (www.sjifactor.com)

						,				
We have to make sure that the	29	40.8	34	47.9	7	9.9	1	1.4		1
banking session is secure, as in						-	-			
many instances we may encounter										
proxy websites.										
The security of your account	27	38	34	47.9	8	11.3	2	2.8	\vdash	-
depends to a great extent on the										
security of your computer, and the										
password.										
In many instances, a simple mistake	24	33.8	32	45.1	11	15.5	3	4.2	1	1
like clicking a wrong button, may									`	1.4
create a big problem.									 .	1
Difficult for Technical Un-savvy	33	46.5	29	40.8	7	9.9	2	2.8	_	
people.										
Sometimes, we may encounter	27	38	32	45.1	7	9.9	4	5.6	1	1.4
technical difficulties and										1.7
connectivity problems while										100
conducting the banking										SCACCARDS
transactions, in such we do not get										1757
the necessary assistance on time										#W00
due to the congestion in the internet										25.0-4929
and telephone network.	,									Child Branch
Sources Complicate D: #										

Source: Complied from Primary Data

Above Table No. 1.8 shows responses of the respondents in frequency and percentage

Table No. 1.9: Descriptive Parameters Related Threats to Consumers in Online Banking

. Transactions in India

Mean	NE				
	M	M	Std.	Ske	Ku
-	e	0	Devi	wne	rios
	di	d	atio	SS	is
	a	e	n		
2.1	n			200	}
4.52	5	5	0.71	-2.13	7.26
				2,11	
3.62	4	1	1.01	0.20	-54
3.02	7	7	1.01	-0.38	٠.ر.•
2 26					
	3	_3	1.06	-0.23	.51
3.93	4	4	0.83	-0.63	.11
	4.52 3.62 3.35 3.93	di a n 4.52 5 3.62 4 3.35 3	di d a e n 4.52 5 5 3.62 4 4 4 3.35 3 3	e o Devi di d atio n n	e o Devi wne di d atio ss n

ENGLISH / Peer Reviewed Referred and UGC Listed Journal

Certified as TRUE COPY

1441

Principal

Ramniranjan Jhygilther by Colons canner Ghatkopar (W), Membai-400086.

THE TOR -	5.5 (www.	volir.	-4-	727			
If our smart card falls in the wrong be	- (III)	r.8]118	ctor.	com)			
If our smart card falls in the wrong hands or if it is stole our money is at risk,	n, 4.0	8	4 [1 0	0.5		
16 information regarding our		0	4	4 0	.97 -1	1.05	1 .:
the banking transactions and bassword or the pin number of	0 4.59	-	_			_	
the banking transactions are leaked or hacked, hackers ca	1 4.5	1	5	5 0.	62 -1	.27	1.5
gain december to our account	"	1					
It is very difficult to prove monetary frauds committed or the internet.	1 2 05						
the mether.	3.82	4	4 1	4 0.9)5 -0.	87	,
Cyber Frauds are increasing day by day in context of	,						
monetary frauds in online payment,	4.24	4	14	0.6	6 -0.0	51	.68
The Indian Law dealing with cyber frauds is not satisfactory or effective			1				
satisfactory or effective	3.79	4	4	0.89	9 -0.5	6	.32
The penetration of internet and knowledge related to							
	3.86	4	4	0.95	-0.8	6	93
We have to make sure that the banking session is secure, as						1	,,
	4.28	4	4	0.70	-0.71	1	35
The security of your account depends to						1	,,
is seeming of Jour computer and the man	4.21	4	4	0.75	-0.78	+-	5
n many instances, a simple mistake like aliali						"	_
actors, may broate a big proprem	4.06	4	4	0.89	-0.98	1.1	12
Difficult for Technical Un-savvy people	la .					1	٠.
ometimes, we may encounter technical difficulties and	4.31	4	5	0.77	-0.99	1.70	7
onnectivity problems while conducting the banking	4.13	4	4	0.91	-1.20	1.5	\dashv
ansactions.					0	1	-
Source: Complied from Primary Data			- 1		- 1		1
1 I mary Data							J

Above table no. 1.9 shows descriptive parameters such as Mean, Median and Mode related to threats to consumers in online banking transactions in India. From the above table, it can be concluded that the Mean of threats in online banking transaction is closed to 4. Median and mode of threats to consumers in online banking transactions in India is also closed to 4.

> Certified as TRUE COPY

Principal Ramniranjan Jhunjhunwala College, Ghatkopar (W), Membai-400086.

VOLUME - VII, ISSUE - III, JULY - SEPTEMBER - 2018 AJANTA - ISSN 2277 - 5730 - IMPACT FACTOR - 5.5 (www.sjifactor.com)

Hypotheses Testing of the Study

For testing above hypotheses, researcher has used One-Sample T Test.

Table No. 1.10: One-Sample T Test

			One	-Sample Test		
			Te	st Value = 3	95% Confiden	ce Interval o
Threats to	t	df	Sig. (2-	Mean Difference	the Diff	
consumer			tailed)		Lower	Upper
	12.261	14	.000	1.05267	.8685	1.2368

Source: Complied from Primary Data

Observation

From above table no. 1.10, it is observed that t(14) = 12.261, P=0.000.

Interpretation

P-value is 0.000 which is less than 0.05. Therefore, we reject null hypothesis and accept alternative hypothesis.

Findings of the Study 1.

Null hypothesis has been rejected and alternative hypothesis has been accepted.

Conclusions 2.

There are various threats to consumer in online banking transaction in India as follow:

- If our smart card falls in the wrong hands or if it is stolen, our money is at risk.
- If information regarding our password or the pin number of the banking transactions 2: are leaked or hacked, hackers can gain access to our account,
- It is very difficult to prove monetary frauds committed on the internet 3.
- The Indian Law dealing with cyber frauds is not satisfactory or effective, 4.
- A simple mistake like clicking a wrong button, may create a big problem etc. 5.

Certified as TRUE COPY

incipal Ramniranjan Jhunjhunwala College, Chatkopar (W) 1 mbai-400086

ENGLISH / Peer Reviewed Referred and UGC Listed Journal

Following are few suggestions for secure online banking transaction

- Use the secure connection
- Create Strong and Unique Passwords
- Avoid Online banking on public computers
- Remember tolog out

References 4.

- https://en.wikipedia.org/wiki/Online_banking 1.
- http://cashlessindia.gov.in/internet_banking.html 2.

Certified as TRUE COPY

Ramuiranian thun bunwata College, Ghatkapar (W) . Ambai-400086.