Journal of Mathematical Modeling

Vol. 11, No. 3, 2023, pp. 491–505. Research Article



Taylor's formula for general quantum calculus

Svetlin G. Georgiev[†] and Sanket Tikare^{‡*}

†Department of Mathematics. Sorbonne University. Paris. France ‡Department of Mathematics, Ramniranjan Jhunjhunwala College, Mumbai, Maharashtra 400 086, India

Email(s): svetlingeorgiev1@gmail.com, sankettikare@rjcollege.edu.in

Abstract. Let $I \subseteq \mathbb{R}$ be an interval and $\beta: I \to I$ a strictly increasing continuous function with a unique fixed point $s_0 \in I$ satisfying $(t - s_0)(\beta(t) - t) \leq 0$ for all $t \in I$. Hamza et al. introduced the general quantum difference operator D_{β} by $D_{\beta}f(t):=\frac{f(\beta(t))-f(t)}{\beta(t)-t}$ if $t \neq s_0$ and $D_{\beta}f(t):=f'(s_0)$ if $t=s_0$. In this paper, we establish results concerning Taylor's formula associated with D_{β} . For this, we define two types of monomials and then present our main results. The obtained results are new in the literature and are useful for further research in the field.

Keywords: Quantum calculus, quantum difference operator, β -derivative, β -integral, Taylor's formula, monomials. *AMS Subject Classification 2010*: 39A13, 39A70, 41A58, 47B39.

1 Introduction

The standard calculus (differential and integral) is based on the notion of limit, where the concepts of derivative and integral are defined in terms of limit. But, there is calculus which works without the notion of limit. In fact, this type of calculus were existed and used already by Leonard Euler and Carl Gustov Jacobi in their studies. The modern name of such calculus is 'quantum calculus'. The main advantage of quantum calculus is that it deals with nondifferentiable functions also. Therefore, this calculus is very much suitable to deal with any physical phenomena which are described by equations involving nondifferentiable functions. Indeed, since the early twentieth century, this type of calculus received significant attention due to its effective utility in several mathematical areas such as orthogonal polynomials, basic hypergeometric functions, combinatorics, the calculus of variations, the theory of relativity, quantum computing, probability distributions, etc. Quantum calculus is based on the idea of finite difference. Depending on the re-scaling of finite differences, there are several different dialects of quantum calculus. Among them, classical difference calculus, the Jackson *q*-calculus, and the Hahn

*Corresponding author

Received: 25 February 2023/ Revised: 24 April 2023/ Accepted: 22 May 2023

DOI: 10.22124/jmm.2023.23936.2139

© 2023 University of Guilan

Certified as TRUE COPY

Piracipal
Ramniranjan Jhunjhunwala College,
Ghatkopar (W), Mumbai-400086.

S.G. Georgiev, S. Tikare

Parcipal
Ramniranjan Jhunjhunwala College,
Ghatkopar (W), Mumbai-400086.

quantum calculus are significant and more widely used quantum calculi. The classical difference calculus is based on the difference operator D_{ω} , which is defined by

$$D_{\omega}f(t) := \frac{f(t+\omega) - f(t)}{\omega}, \quad t \in \mathbb{R},$$

where ω is a nonzero fixed number. The calculus associated with D_{ω} is well-studied. There is ample of literature available for the ordinary difference calculus, see, for example [14, 18, 25, 26, 28]. The Jackson q-calculus is based on the q-difference operator, which is defined by

$$D_{q}f(t) := \begin{cases} \frac{f(qt) - f(t)}{t(q-1)} & \text{if } t \neq 0, \\ f'(0) & \text{if } t = 0, \end{cases}$$
 (1)

where $q \in (0,1)$ is a fixed number and the function f is defined on a q-geometric set $G \subseteq \mathbb{R}$ such that $qt \in G$ for $t \in G$. Annaby et al. [4] established Taylor series and interpolation results for q-difference operators. For further studies about q-difference operators, readers can refer [1, 15, 23]. The Hahn quantum calculus is based on the (q, ω) -difference operator, which is defined by

$$D_{q,\omega}f(t) := \begin{cases} \frac{f(qt+\omega) - f(t)}{tq+\omega - t} & \text{if } t \neq \omega_0, \\ f'(\omega_0) & \text{if } t = \omega_0, \end{cases}$$
 (2)

where $q \in (0,1)$ and ω_0 are fixed such that $\omega_0 = \frac{\omega}{1-q}$ and the function f is defined on a q-geometric set $G \subseteq \mathbb{R}$. Oraby and Hamza [29] established the Taylor theory associated with (q,ω) -difference operator. The operator $D_{q,\omega}$ is applicable in various fields like, during the process of construction of families of orthogonal polynomials and in the investigation of some approximation and optimization problems, see [2, 11–13, 34], also in symmetric calculus [5–7] and variational calculus [27]. Annaby et al. [3] constructed the inverse of the operator $D_{q,\omega}$ and investigated rigorously some of its properties. It was found that this inverse also generalizes both Nörlund sums and the Jackson q-integrals.

Recently, in 2015, Hamza et al. [19] introduced a general quantum difference operator D_{β} defined by

$$D_{\beta}f(t) := \begin{cases} \frac{f(\beta(t)) - t}{\beta(t) - t}, & \text{if } \beta(t) \neq t, \\ f'(s_0), & \text{if } \beta(t) = t, \end{cases}$$

provided that $f'(s_0)$ exists. Here, the function f is defined on an interval $I \subseteq \mathbb{R}$ and the operator $\beta : I \to I$ is specified later in Section 2. This operator D_{β} unifies and generalizes the above three difference operators. The calculus associated with D_{β} is known as 'general quantum calculus' [19]. Since 2015, several researchers have studied this topic and investigated various new results. For instance, the exponential functions introduced in [17], the Laplace transform is introduced in [31], the convolution theorem is proved in [32], the problems of variational calculus are studied in [8], directional derivative is defined in [24], some inequalities are established in [20], certain results concerning fixed points are established in [20,30], Leibniz's rule and Fubini's theorem are established in [22], properties of some function spaces are studied in [9], and the Sturm-Liouville problems are studied in [10]. Also, some difference equations associated with general quantum calculus are studied [16, 21, 33]. Consequently, within a short period

Ramniranjan Jhunjhunwala College, Ghatkopar (W), Mumbai-400086.

Taylor's formula for general quantum calculus

of time, a rigorous analysis of the calculus associated with D_{β} was constructed. But still there are some basic concepts which are not studied in the context of β -difference operator D_{β} .

In this paper, proceeding with the calculus associated with D_{β} , we deduce Taylor's formula for general quantum calculus. This theory will be helpful in the development of further rigorous analysis of the calculus associated with D_{β} . We organize this paper as follows. After the introduction Section, in Section 2, we give essential concepts, definitions, and theorems from the β -quantum calculus. This will help the reader of this paper to understand the paper easily. In Section 3, we first define two monomials associated with D_{β} and prove essential results. Taylor's formulae associated with two monomials are proved in Section 4. Finally, the conclusion of the paper is included in Section 5.

β -quantum calculus essentials

To understand the present paper, in this section, we introduce essential concepts, definitions, and theorems from the β -quantum calculus.

Throughout the paper, let $I \subseteq \mathbb{R}$ be an interval and $\beta \colon I \to I$ is a strictly increasing continuous function which has a unique fixed point $s_0 \in I$ and satisfies the following condition:

$$(t - s_0)(\beta(t) - t) \le 0 \text{ for all } t \in I.$$

Note that the equality in (3) holds only when $t = s_0$.

For
$$t \in I$$
 and $k \in \mathbb{N}_0$, we denote $\beta^k(t) := \underbrace{(\beta \circ \beta \circ \ldots \circ \beta)}_{k \text{ times}}(t)$, $\beta^{-k}(t) := \underbrace{(\beta^{-1} \circ \beta^{-1} \circ \ldots \circ \beta^{-1})}_{k \text{ times}}(t)$, and $\beta^0(t) = t$.

Lemma 1 ([19, Theorem 2.1]). *The following statements are true.*

- 1. The sequence of functions $\{\beta^k(t)\}_{k\in\mathbb{N}_0}$ converges uniformly to the constant function $\widehat{\beta}(t) := s_0$ on every compact interval $J \subseteq I$ containing s_0 .
- 2. The series of functions $\sum_{k=0}^{\infty} |\beta^k(t) \beta^{k+1}(t)|$ is uniformly convergent to $|t s_0|$ on every compact interval $J \subseteq I$ containing s_0 .

Definition 1 ([19, β -quantum difference operator]). For a function $f: I \to \mathbb{R}$, the β -quantum difference operator D_{β} is defined by

$$D_{eta}f(t) := egin{cases} rac{f(eta(t)) - t}{eta(t) - t} & ext{if } t
eq s_0, \ f'(s_0) & ext{if } t = s_0, \end{cases}$$

provided that $f'(s_0)$ exists. The number $D_{\beta}f(t)$ is called as the β -derivative of f at $t \in I$. If $f'(s_0)$ exists, then f is said to be β -differentiable on I.

Remark 1. The β -quantum difference operator has the following properties.

- 1. The operator D_{β} is linear.
- 2. If f is β -differentiable at t, then $f(\beta(t)) = f(t) + (\beta(t) t)D_{\beta}f(t)$.

493

Pizacipal Ramniranjan Jhunjhunwala College, Ghatkopar (W), Mumbai-400086.

494

S.G. Georgiev, S. Tikare

3. If f is β -differentiable, then f is continuous at s_0 .

Theorem 1 ([19, Theorem 2.6]). Assume that $f,g: I \to \mathbb{R}$ are β -differentiable functions at $t \in I$. Then, we have the following.

(i) The product $fg: I \to \mathbb{R}$ is β -differentiable at t and

$$D_{\beta}(fg)(t) = (D_{\beta}f(t))g(t) + f(\beta(t))D_{\beta}g(t) = (D_{\beta}f(t))g(\beta(t)) + f(t)D_{\beta}g(t).$$

(ii) The function f/g is β -differentiable at t and

$$D_{\beta}(f/g)(t) = \frac{(D_{\beta}f(t))g(t) - f(t)D_{\beta}g(t)}{g(t)g(\beta(t))}, \quad g(t)g(\beta(t)) \neq 0.$$

Definition 2 ([19, Definition 3.4]). *Let* $f: I \to \mathbb{R}$ *and* $a, b \in I$. *The* β -integral of f from a to b is defined by

$$\int_{a}^{b} f(t)d_{\beta}t := \int_{s_{0}}^{b} f(t)d_{\beta}t - \int_{s_{0}}^{a} f(t)d_{\beta}t,$$

where

$$\int_{s_0}^x f(t)d\beta t = \sum_{k=0}^\infty (\beta^k(x) - \beta^{k+1}(x))f(\beta^k(x)), \quad x \in I,$$

provided that the series on right-hand side converges at x = a and x = b. The function f is said to be β -integrable on I if the series converges at a and b for all $a, b \in I$.

Note 1. *If* $f: I \to \mathbb{R}$ *is continuous at* $s_0 \in I$ *, then* f *is* β *-integrable on* I.

Theorem 2 ([19, Theorem 3.10]). Assume that $f,g: I \to \mathbb{R}$ are β -differentiable functions on I such that $D_{\beta}f$ and $D_{\beta}g$ are both continuous at s_0 . Then, for $a,b \in I$

$$\int_a^b f(t)D_{\beta}g(t)d_{\beta}t = f(b)g(b) - f(a)g(a) - \int_a^b (D_{\beta}f(t))g(\beta(t))d_{\beta}t.$$

3 Generalized quantum monomials

First, we introduce the generalized quantum monomials as follows.

Definition 3. Let $I \subseteq \mathbb{R}$. For $x, y \in I$, we define the generalized quantum monomials $h_k \colon I \times I \to \mathbb{R}$, $k \in \mathbb{N}_0$, recursively by

$$h_0(x,y) = 1,$$

 $h_k(x,y) = \int_y^x h_{k-1}(z,y) d_{\beta} z, \quad k \in \mathbb{N}, \quad x,y \in I.$ (4)

Remark 2. For $x, y \in I$ and $k \in \mathbb{N}$, we have

$$D_{\beta x} h_k(x, y) = h_{k-1}(x, y). \tag{5}$$

Taylor's formula for general quantum calculus

Pracipal
Ramniranjan Jhunjhunwala College,
Ghatkopar (W), Mumbai-400086.

First, we prove the following useful theorem.

Theorem 3. Let $h: I \times I \to \mathbb{R}$ be continuous and β -differentiable with respect to its first variable. Define $g: I \to \mathbb{R}$ by

$$g(x) := \int_{s_0}^x h(x,s) d\beta s, \quad x \in I.$$

Then

$$D_{\beta x}g(x) = h(\beta(x), x) + \int_{s_0}^x D_{\beta x}h(x, s)d_{\beta}s, \quad x \in I.$$
 (6)

Proof. First, suppose $x \neq s_0$. Then, using the definition of function g and [19, Corollary 3.7, p. 14], we have

$$\begin{split} g(\beta(x)) - g(x) &= \int_{s_0}^{\beta(x)} h(\beta(x), s) d_{\beta}s - \int_{s_0}^{x} h(x, s) d_{\beta}s \\ &= \int_{s_0}^{x} (h(\beta(x), s) - h(x, s)) d_{\beta}s + (\beta(x) - x)h(\beta(x), x). \end{split}$$

Using this, we can write

$$D_{\beta x}g(x) = \frac{g(\beta(x)) - g(x)}{\beta(x) - x} = h(\beta(x), x) + \int_{s_0}^x D_{\beta x}h(x, s)d_{\beta}s.$$

Now, we take $x = s_0$. Then for any $\eta \neq 0$, $s_0 + \eta \in I$, we have

$$g(s_0 + \eta) = \sum_{k=0}^{\infty} (\beta^k (s_0 + \eta) - \beta^{k+1} (s_0 + \eta)) h(s_0 + \eta, \beta^k (s_0 + \eta))$$

$$= \sum_{k=0}^{\infty} (\beta^k (s_0 + \eta) - \beta^{k+1} (s_0 + \eta)) (h(s_0 + \eta, \beta^k (s_0 + \eta)) - h(s_0, s_0))$$

$$+ \sum_{k=0}^{\infty} (\beta^k (s_0 + \eta) - \beta^{k+1} (s_0 + \eta)) h(s_0, s_0).$$

Therefore

$$\frac{g(s_0 + \eta)}{\eta} = \sum_{k=0}^{\infty} \frac{\beta^k(s_0 + \eta) - \beta^{k+1}(s_0 + \eta)}{\eta} (h(s_0 + \eta, \beta^k(s_0 + \eta)) - h(s_0, s_0)) + \sum_{k=0}^{\infty} \frac{\beta^k(s_0 + \eta) - \beta^{k+1}(s_0 + \eta)}{\eta} h(s_0, s_0).$$

Now, in view of Lemma 1, we obtain that

$$\lim_{\eta \to 0} \frac{g(s_0 + \eta)}{\eta} = h(s_0, s_0),$$

and thus, we get (6). This completes the proof.

Theorem 4. For the generalized quantum monomials $h_k: I \times I \to \mathbb{R}$, $k \in \mathbb{N}_0$, defined in (4), we have

$$h_{k+m+1}(x,s_0) = \int_{s_0}^x h_k(x,\beta(y)) h_m(y,s_0) d_{\beta}y, \quad x \in I, \quad m \in \mathbb{N}_0.$$
 (7)

495

496

Pracipal
Ramniranjan Jhunjhunwala College,
Ghatkopar (W), Mumbai-400086.

S.G. Georgiev, S. Tikare

Proof. Let

$$g(x) = \int_{s_0}^x h_k(x, \beta(y)) h_m(y, s_0) d_{\beta}y, \quad x \in I.$$

Then, in view of Theorem 3, we can write

$$D_{\beta}g(x) = h_k(\beta(x), \beta(x))h_m(x, s_0) + \int_{s_0}^x D_{\beta x}h_k(x, \beta(y))h_m(y, s_0)d_{\beta}y.$$

But, using (5), we have $D_{\beta x}h_k(x, R(y)) = h$. (r R(y)) This yields

TRUE COPY

Performing this procedure recurs

, $x \in I$. Hence,

Pracipal $D_{\beta}^{k-1}g(x)-D_{\beta}^{l}$ Ramniranjan Jhunjhunwala College, x,s_{0} , $x \in I$. Ghatkopar (W), Mumbai-400086.

That is, $D_{\beta}^{k-1}g(x) = h_{m+2}(x,s_0)$, $x \in I$. Continuing this process, we get $D_{\beta}g(x) = h_{k+m}(x,s_0)$, $x \in I$, whereupon

$$g(x) - g(s_0) = \int_{s_0}^x h_{k+m}(y, s_0) d_{\beta} y = h_{k+m+1}(x, s_0), \quad x \in I.$$

That is, for $x \in I$, $g(x) = h_{k+m+1}(x, s_0)$, which gives (7). This completes the proof.

Theorem 5. For the generalized quantum monomials $h_k: I \times I \to \mathbb{R}$, $k \in \mathbb{N}_0$, defined in (4), we have

$$h_k(x, s_0) \ge \frac{(x - s_0)^k}{k!}, \quad k \in \mathbb{N},$$
(8)

where $x \in I$ and $x > s_0$.

Proof. Define $g: I \to \mathbb{R}$ by

$$g(x) = (x - s_0)^{k+1}$$
, $k \in \mathbb{N}$ and $x \in I$ with $x \ge s_0$.

Then, we have

$$D_{\beta}g(x) = \sum_{i=0}^{k} (\beta(x) - s_0)^{k-j} (x - s_0)^j, \quad x \in I \text{ with } x \ge s_0.$$
 (9)

Really, if $x = s_0$, then (9) is evident. Let $x \neq s_0$. Then

$$\begin{split} D_{\beta}g(x) &= \frac{g(\beta(x)) - g(x)}{\beta(x) - x} \\ &= \frac{1}{\beta(x) - x} \left((\beta(x) - s_0)^{k+1} - (x - s_0)^{k+1} \right) \\ &= \frac{1}{\beta(x) - x} (\beta(x) - x) \sum_{j=0}^{k} (\beta(x) - s_0)^{k-j} (x - s_0)^{j} \\ &= \sum_{j=0}^{k} (\beta(x) - s_0)^{k-j} (x - s_0)^{j}, \end{split}$$

i.e., we get (9). Note that the inequality (8) holds for k = 0, 1. Assume that the inequality (8) holds for some $k \in \mathbb{N}$. We will prove the inequality (8) for k + 1. We have

$$h_{k+1}(x,s_0) = \int_{s_0}^x h_k(z,s_0) d_{\beta}z$$

$$\stackrel{(8)}{\geq} \frac{1}{k!} \int_{s_0}^x (z-s_0)^k d_{\beta}z$$

$$= \frac{1}{(k+1)!} \int_{s_0}^x \sum_{j=0}^k (z-s_0)^k d_{\beta}z$$

$$= \frac{1}{(k+1)!} \int_{s_0}^x \sum_{j=0}^k (z-s_0)^{k-j} (z-s_0)^j d_{\beta}z$$

$$\stackrel{(3)}{\geq} \frac{1}{(k+1)!} \int_{s_0}^x \sum_{j=0}^k (\beta(z)-s_0)^{k-j} (z-s_0)^j d_{\beta}z$$

$$\stackrel{(9)}{=} \frac{1}{(k+1)!} \int_{s_0}^x D_{\beta}g(z) d_{\beta}z$$

$$= \frac{(x-s_0)^{k+1}}{(k+1)!}.$$

Thus, $h_{k+1}(x, s_0) \ge \frac{(x-s_0)^{k+1}}{(k+1)!}$ for all $x \in I$ with $x \ge s_0$. By the principal of the mathematical induction, it follows that the inequality (8) holds for any $k \in \mathbb{N}$. This completes the proof.

Theorem 6. Let $h_n: I \times I \to \mathbb{R}$ be the generalized quantum monomials defined in (4). Then, for each $n \in \mathbb{N}$, $h_n(\cdot,\cdot)$ satisfy the relationship

$$h_n(\beta^k(t),t) = 0$$
 for all $t \in I$ and for all $k \in \mathbb{N}$ such that $0 \le k \le n-1$.

Proof. Let $n \in \mathbb{N}$ be arbitrarily chosen. Then,

$$h_n(\beta^0(t),t) = h_n(t,t) = \int_t^t h_{n-1}(z,t)d\beta z = 0.$$

Now, assume that

$$h_{n-1}(\beta^k(t),t) = 0$$
 and $h_n(\beta^k(t),t) = 0$,

for some $k \in \{0, ..., n-2\}$. We shall prove that $h_n(\beta^{k+1}(t), t) = 0$. We have, by Definition 1,

$$D_{\beta}h_n(\beta^k(t),t) = \frac{h_n(\beta^{k+1}(t),t) - h_n(\beta^k(t),t)}{\beta(t)-t}.$$

Rearranging the terms, we obtain

$$h_n(\beta^{k+1}(t),t) = h_n(\beta^k(t),t) + (\beta(t)-t)D_{\beta}h_n(\beta^k(t),t)$$

= $h_n(\beta^k(t),t) + (\beta(t)-t)h_{n-1}(\beta^k(t),t) = 0.$

That is, $h_n(\beta^{k+1}(t),t) = 0$. Thus, $h_n(\beta^k(t),t) = 0$ for all $k \in \mathbb{N}$ with $0 \le k \le n-1$. This completes the proof.

498

S.G. Georgiev, S. Tikare

Before moving ahead, we introduce another generalized quantum monomials which are used henceforth in the paper.

Definition 4. Let $s,t \in I$. We define the generalized quantum monomials $g_n: I \times I \to \mathbb{R}$, $n \in \mathbb{N}$, recursively by

$$g_0(t,s) = 1,$$

 $g_n(t,s) = \int_s^t g_{n-1}(\beta(z),s)d_{\beta}z.$ (10)

Remark 3. For $s,t \in I$ and $n \in \mathbb{N}$, we have

$$D_{\beta t}g_n(t,s)=g_{n-1}(\boldsymbol{\beta}(t),s).$$

4 The Taylor Formula

In this section, we shall prove our main results.

Theorem 7. Let $m \in \mathbb{N}$ and suppose that $f: I \to \mathbb{R}$ is m-times β -differentiable at $\beta^{m-1}(t)$. Then

$$f(t) = \sum_{k=0}^{m-1} (-1)^k D_{\beta}^k f(\beta^{m-1-k}(t)) h_k(\beta^{m-1}(t), t), \quad t \in I,$$
(11)

where $h_k: I \times I \to \mathbb{R}$, $k \in \mathbb{N}_0$, are generalized quantum monomials defined in Definition 3.

Proof. We shall make use of the principle of the mathematical induction. The proof is given in the following steps.

The equation (11) is true when m = 1. Since

$$\sum_{k=0}^{0} (-1)^k D_{\beta}^k f(\beta^{0-k}(t)) h_k(\beta^0(t), t) = (-1)^0 f(t) h_0(t, t) = f(t), \quad t \in I.$$

Now, assume that (11) is true for some $m \in \mathbb{N}$. That is,

$$f(t) = \sum_{k=0}^{m-1} (-1)^k D_{\beta}^k f(\beta^{m-1-k}(t)) h_k(\beta^{m-1}(t), t), \quad t \in I, \text{ for some } m \in \mathbb{N}.$$
 (12)

We will prove (11) for m+1. That is,

$$f(t) = \sum_{k=0}^{m} (-1)^k D_{\beta}^k f(\beta^{m-k}(t)) h_k(\beta^m(t), t), \quad t \in I.$$
 (13)

Using Definition 3 and Remark 2, we have

$$D_{\beta}h_{k}(\beta^{m-1}(t),t) = \frac{h_{k}(\beta^{m}(t),t) - h_{k}(\beta^{m-1}(t),t)}{\beta(t) - t} = h_{k-1}(\beta^{m-1}(t),t), \quad t \in I.$$

Rearranging the terms, we write

$$h_k(\beta^m(t),t) = h_k(\beta^{m-1}(t),t) + (\beta(t)-t)h_{k-1}(\beta^{m-1}(t),t), \quad t \in I.$$
(14)

Certified as TRUE COPY

Taylor's formula for general quantum calculus

Pizacipal
Ramniranjan Jhunjhunwala College,
Ghatkopar (W), Mumbai-400086.

499

By Theorem 6, we have

$$h_m(\beta^{m-1}(t), t) = 0, \quad t \in I.$$
 (15)

Next, for $k \in \{0, \dots, m-1\}$, we have

$$D_{\beta}^{k+1}f\left(\beta^{m-k-1}(t)\right) = D_{\beta}\left(D_{\beta}^{k}f\left(\beta^{m-k-1}(t)\right)\right) = \frac{D_{\beta}^{k}f\left(\beta^{m-k}(t)\right) - D_{\beta}^{k}f\left(\beta^{m-k-1}(t)\right)}{\beta(t) - t}, \quad t \in I,$$

whereupon

$$(\beta(t)-t)D_{\beta}^{k+1}f\left(\beta^{m-k-1}(t)\right)=D_{\beta}^{k}f\left(\beta^{m-k}(t)\right)-D_{\beta}^{k}f\left(\beta^{m-k-1}(t)\right),\quad t\in I,$$

and

$$D_{\beta}^{k} f\left(\beta^{m-k}(t)\right) - (\beta(t) - t) D_{\beta}^{k+1} f\left(\beta^{m-k-1}(t)\right) = D_{\beta}^{k} f\left(\beta^{m-k-1}(t)\right), \quad t \in I.$$
 (16)

Therefore, for $t \in I$, we get

$$\begin{split} \sum_{k=0}^{m} (-1)^k D_{\beta}^k f(\beta^{m-k}(t)) h_k(\beta^m(t), t) \\ &= f(\beta^m(t)) + \sum_{k=1}^{m} (-1)^k D_{\beta}^k f(\beta^{m-k}(t)) h_k(\beta^m(t), t) \\ \stackrel{(14)}{=} f(\beta^m(t)) + \sum_{k=1}^{m} (-1)^k D_{\beta}^k f(\beta^{m-k}(t)) h_k(\beta^{m-1}(t), t) \\ &+ (\beta(t) - t) \sum_{k=1}^{m} (-1)^k D_{\beta}^k f(\beta^{m-k}(t)) h_{k-1}(\beta^{m-1}(t), t) \\ &= f(\beta^m(t)) + \sum_{k=1}^{m-1} (-1)^k D_{\beta}^k f(\beta^{m-k}(t)) h_k(\beta^{m-1}(t), t) \\ &+ (-1)^m D_{\beta}^m f(t) h_m(\beta^{m-1}(t), t) \\ &+ (\beta(t) - t) \sum_{k=0}^{m-1} (-1)^{k+1} D_{\beta}^{k+1} f(\beta^{m-k-1}(t)) h_k(\beta^{m-1}(t), t) \\ \stackrel{(15)}{=} \sum_{k=0}^{m-1} (-1)^k D_{\beta}^k f(\beta^{m-k}(t)) h_k(\beta^{m-1}(t), t) \\ &- (\beta(t) - t) \sum_{k=0}^{m-1} (-1)^k D_{\beta}^{k+1} f(\beta^{m-k-1}(t)) h_k(\beta^{m-1}(t), t) \\ &= \sum_{k=0}^{m-1} (-1)^k \left(D_{\beta}^k f(\beta^{m-k}(t)) - (\beta(t) - t) D_{\beta}^{k+1} f(\beta^{m-k-1}(t)) \right) h_k(\beta^{m-1}(t), t) \\ \stackrel{(16)}{=} \sum_{k=0}^{m-1} (-1)^k D_{\beta}^k f\left(\beta^{m-k-1}(t)\right) h_k(\beta^{m-1}(t), t) \\ \stackrel{(16)}{=} \int_{k=0}^{m-1} (-1)^k D_{\beta}^k f\left(\beta^{m-k-1}(t)\right) h_k(\beta^{m-1}(t), t)$$

500

Pizacipal
Ramniranjan Jhunjhunwala College,
Ghatkopar (W), Mumbai-400086.

S.G. Georgiev, S. Tikare

That is,

$$\sum_{k=0}^{m} (-1)^k D_{\beta}^k f(\beta^{m-k}(t)) h_k(\beta^m(t), t) = f(t), \quad t \in I.$$

Hence, (13) holds. This completes the proof.

Theorem 8. Let $n \in \mathbb{N}$. If $f: I \to \mathbb{R}$ is n-times β -differentiable and $p_k: I \to \mathbb{R}$, $0 \le k \le n-1$, are β -differentiable at $t \in I$ with

$$D_{\beta} p_{k+1}(t) = p_k(t), \quad 0 \le k \le n-2, \quad n \in \mathbb{N} \setminus \{1\},$$

then

$$D_{\beta}\left(\sum_{k=0}^{n-1}(-1)^{k}D_{\beta}^{k}f\left(\beta^{-k}(\cdot)\right)p_{k}(\cdot)\right)(t) = (-1)^{n-1}D_{\beta}^{n}f\left(\beta^{-(n-1)}(t)\right)p_{n-1}(t) + f(\beta(t))D_{\beta}p_{0}(t), \quad t \in I.$$

Proof. We have

$$\begin{split} D_{\beta} \bigg(\sum_{k=0}^{n-1} (-1)^k D_{\beta}^k f \left(\beta^{-k}(\cdot) \right) p_k(\cdot) \bigg) (t) \\ &= \sum_{k=0}^{n-1} (-1)^k D_{\beta} \left(D_{\beta}^k f \left(\beta^{-k}(\cdot) \right) p_k(\cdot) \right) (t) \\ &= \sum_{k=0}^{n-1} (-1)^k \left[D_{\beta}^{k+1} f \left(\beta^{-k}(t) \right) p_k(t) + D_{\beta}^k f \left(\beta^{1-k}(t) \right) D_{\beta} p_k(t) \right] \\ &= \sum_{k=0}^{n-1} (-1)^k D_{\beta}^{k+1} f \left(\beta^{-k}(t) \right) p_k(t) + \sum_{k=0}^{n-1} (-1)^k D_{\beta}^k f \left(\beta^{1-k}(t) \right) D_{\beta} p_k(t) \\ &= \sum_{k=0}^{n-2} (-1)^k D_{\beta}^{k+1} f \left(\beta^{-k}(t) \right) p_k(t) + (-1)^{n-1} D_{\beta}^n f \left(\beta^{-(n-1)}(t) \right) p_{n-1}(t) \\ &+ \sum_{k=1}^{n-1} (-1)^k D_{\beta}^k f \left(\beta^{1-k}(t) \right) D_{\beta} p_k(t) + f(\beta(t)) D_{\beta} p_0(t) \\ &= \sum_{k=0}^{n-2} (-1)^k D_{\beta}^{k+1} f \left(\beta^{-k}(t) \right) p_k(t) - \sum_{k=0}^{n-2} (-1)^k D_{\beta}^{k+1} f \left(\beta^{-k}(t) \right) p_k(t) \\ &+ (-1)^{n-1} D_{\beta}^n f \left(\beta^{-(n-1)}(t) \right) p_{n-1}(t) + f(\beta(t)) D_{\beta} p_0(t). \end{split}$$

That is, for $t \in I$

$$D_{\beta}\left(\sum_{k=0}^{n-1}(-1)^{k}D_{\beta}^{k}f\left(\beta^{-k}(\cdot)\right)p_{k}(\cdot)\right)(t) = (-1)^{n-1}D_{\beta}^{n}f\left(\beta^{-(n-1)}(t)\right)p_{n-1}(t) + f(\beta(t))D_{\beta}p_{0}(t).$$

This completes the proof.

Taylor's formula for general quantum calculus

Now, below we prove the Taylor formula involving the generalized quantum monomials h_k defined in (4).

Theorem 9 (The Taylor Formula). Let $n \in \mathbb{N}$. Suppose that $f: I \to \mathbb{R}$ is n-times β -differentiable on I and let $a, t \in I$. Then

$$f(t) = \sum_{k=0}^{n-1} (-1)^k D_{\beta}^k f\left(\beta^{-k}(a)\right) h_k(a,t) + (-1)^{n-1} \int_a^{\beta^{n-1}(t)} D_{\beta}^n f\left(\beta^{-(n-1)}(\tau)\right) h_{n-1}(\tau,t) d_{\beta}\tau, \quad (17)$$

where $h_k: I \times I \to \mathbb{R}$, $k \in \mathbb{N}_0$, are the generalized quantum monomials defined in Definition 3.

Proof. First, in view of Theorem 8, we get

$$D_{\beta}\left(\sum_{k=0}^{n-1}(-1)^{k}D_{\beta}^{k}f\left(\beta^{-k}(\cdot)\right)h_{k}(\cdot,t)\right)(\tau) = (-1)^{n-1}D_{\beta}^{n}f\left(\beta^{-(n-1)}(\tau)\right)h_{n-1}(\tau,t) + f(\beta(\tau))D_{\beta}h_{0}(\tau,t).$$

That is, for $\tau, t \in I$

$$D_{\beta}\left(\sum_{k=0}^{n-1}(-1)^{k}D_{\beta}^{k}f\left(\beta^{-k}(\cdot)\right)h_{k}(\cdot,t)\right)(\tau) = (-1)^{n-1}D_{\beta}^{n}f\left(\beta^{-(n-1)}(\tau)\right)h_{n-1}(\tau,t).$$

Now, integrating the last equation from a to $\beta^{n-1}(t)$, we get

$$\begin{split} \int_{a}^{\beta^{n-1}(t)} D_{\beta} \left(\sum_{k=0}^{n-1} (-1)^{k} D_{\beta}^{k} f\left(\beta^{-k}(\cdot)\right) h_{k}(\cdot,t) \right) (\tau) d_{\beta} \tau \\ &= (-1)^{n-1} \int_{a}^{\beta^{n-1}(t)} D_{\beta}^{n} f\left(\beta^{-(n-1)}(\tau)\right) h_{n-1}(\tau,t) d_{\beta} \tau, \quad t \in I, \end{split}$$

which yields

$$\sum_{k=0}^{n-1} (-1)^k D_{\beta}^k f\left(\beta^{n-1-k}(t)\right) h_k(\beta^{n-1}(t), t) - \sum_{k=0}^{n-1} (-1)^k D_{\beta}^k f\left(\beta^{-k}(a)\right) h_k(a, t)$$

$$= (-1)^{n-1} \int_a^{\beta^{n-1}(t)} D_{\beta}^n f\left(\beta^{-(n-1)}(\tau)\right) h_{n-1}(\tau, t) d_{\beta}\tau, \quad t \in I.$$

Now, in view of Theorem 7, we obtain

$$f(t) - \sum_{k=0}^{n-1} (-1)^k D_{\beta}^k f\left(\beta^{-k}(a)\right) h_k(a,t)$$

$$= (-1)^{n-1} \int_a^{\beta^{n-1}(t)} D_{\beta}^n f\left(\beta^{-(n-1)}(\tau)\right) h_{n-1}(\tau,t) d_{\beta}\tau, \quad t \in I,$$

which gives (17), and this completes the proof.

In the next theorem, we obtain the relation between generalized quantum monomials h_n and g_n , $n \in \mathbb{N}$, defined, respectively, in Definitions 3 and 4.

501

Pincipal Ramniranjan Jhunjhunwala College, Ghatkopar (W), Mumbai-400086.

S.G. Georgiev, S. Tikare

Theorem 10. The functions $h_n: I \times I \to \mathbb{R}$ and $g_n: I \times I \to \mathbb{R}$ defined, respectively, in (4) and (10), satisfies the relationship

$$h_n(t,s) = (-1)^n g_n(s,t) \text{ for all } n \in \mathbb{N} \text{ and } s,t \in I.$$

$$\tag{18}$$

Proof. Take $n \in \mathbb{N}$ arbitrarily and define $f: I \to \mathbb{R}$ by

$$f(\tau) = g_n(\tau, s), \quad \tau, s \in I. \tag{19}$$

Then, in view of Remark 3, we obtain $D_{\beta}f(\tau) = g_{n-1}(\beta(\tau),s)$. Performing this repeatedly, we get $D_{\beta}^k f(\tau) = g_{n-k}(\beta^k(\tau),s)$, for $0 \le k \le n-1$, and

$$D_{\beta}^{n} f(\tau) = g_{0}(\beta^{n}(\tau), s)$$

$$\stackrel{\text{(10)}}{=} 1, \quad \tau, s \in I. \tag{20}$$

Hence,

$$D_{\beta}^{k} f(\beta^{-k}(s)) = g_{n-k}(\beta^{k}(\beta^{-k}(s)), s)$$

$$= g_{n-k}(s, s)$$

$$= 0, \quad 0 < k < n - 1.$$
(21)

Now, applying Theorem 9 for a = s, we get

$$f(t) = \sum_{k=0}^{n} (-1)^{k} D_{\beta}^{k} f(\beta^{-k}(s)) h_{k}(s,t) + (-1)^{n} \int_{s}^{\beta^{n}(t)} D_{\beta}^{n+1} f(\beta^{-n}(\tau)) h_{n+1}(\tau,t) d_{\beta} \tau$$

$$= \sum_{k=0}^{n-1} (-1)^{k} D_{\beta}^{k} f(\beta^{-k}(s)) h_{k}(s,t) + (-1)^{n} D_{\beta}^{n} f(\beta^{-n}(s)) h_{n}(s,t)$$

$$+ (-1)^{n} \int_{s}^{\beta^{n}(t)} D_{\beta}^{n+1} f(\beta^{-n}(\tau)) h_{n+1}(\tau,t) d_{\beta} \tau$$

$$\stackrel{\text{(21)}}{=} (-1)^{n} \left[D_{\beta}^{n} f(\beta^{-n}(s)) h_{n}(s,t) + \int_{s}^{\beta^{n}(t)} D_{\beta}^{n+1} f(\beta^{-n}(\tau)) h_{n+1}(\tau,t) d_{\beta} \tau \right]. \tag{22}$$

Using Theorem 2, we write

$$\begin{split} D^{n}_{\beta}f(\beta^{-n}(s))h_{n}(s,t) + \int_{s}^{\beta^{n}(t)} D^{n+1}_{\beta}f(\beta^{-n}(\tau))h_{n+1}(\tau,t)d_{\beta}\tau \\ &= h_{n+1}(\beta^{n}(t),t)D^{n}_{\beta}f(\beta^{-n}(\beta^{n}(t))) - \int_{s}^{\beta^{n}(t)} D_{\beta}h_{n+1}(\tau,t)D^{n}_{\beta}f(\beta^{-n}(\beta^{n}(t)))d_{\beta}\tau \\ &= h_{n+1}(\beta^{n}(t),t)D^{n}_{\beta}f(t) - \int_{s}^{\beta^{n}(t)} D_{\beta}h_{n+1}(\tau,t)D^{n}_{\beta}f(t))d_{\beta}\tau \\ &\stackrel{(20)}{=} h_{n+1}(\beta^{n}(t),t) - \int_{s}^{\beta^{n}(t)} D_{\beta}h_{n+1}(\tau,t)d_{\beta}\tau \\ &= h_{n+1}(\beta^{n}(t),t) - \int_{s}^{\beta^{n}(t)} h_{n}(\tau,t)d_{\beta}\tau \\ &= h_{n+1}(\beta^{n}(t),t) - h_{n+1}(\beta^{n}(t),t) + h_{n}(s,t) \\ &= h_{n}(s,t). \end{split}$$



Taylor's formula for general quantum calculus

Pizacipal
Ramniranjan Jhunjhunwala College,
Ghatkopar (W), Mumbai-400086.

503

Now, (22) becomes $f(t) = (-1)^n h_n(s,t)$. Thus, (19) gives $g_n(t,s) = (-1)^n h_n(s,t)$ for all $n \in \mathbb{N}$ and $s,t \in I$. This completes the proof.

Now, using the relation between generalized quantum monomials h_n and g_n obtained in Theorem 10, we get the following Taylor formula.

Theorem 11 (The Taylor Formula). Let $n \in \mathbb{N}$. Suppose that $f: I \to \mathbb{R}$ is n-times β -differentiable on I and let $a, t \in I$. Then

$$f(t) = \sum_{k=0}^{n-1} D_{\beta}^{k} f\left(\beta^{-k}(a)\right) g_{k}(t,a) + \int_{a}^{\beta^{n-1}(t)} D_{\beta}^{n} f\left(\beta^{-(n-1)}(\tau)\right) g_{n-1}(t,\tau) d_{\beta}\tau,$$

where $g_k: I \times I \to \mathbb{R}$, $k \in \mathbb{N}_0$, are the generalized quantum monomials defined in Definition 10.

5 Conclusion

This article is devoted in establishing Taylor's formula associated with the β -quantum calculus introduced by Hamza et al. [19]. Since the β -quantum calculus unifies and generalizes the classical difference calculus, the Jackson q-calculus and the Hahn quantum calculus, the results obtained in the context of β -quantum calculus are more general. These results will be useful in the investigation of more details about the theory of β -quantum calculus.

Acknowledgements

The authors are thankful to the referees for their insightful and constructive comments towards the improvement of the quality of the paper.


References

- [1] B. Ahmad, S.K. Ntouyas, J. Tariboon, *Quantum Calculus: New Concepts, Impulsive IVPs and BVPs, Inequalities*, World Scientific, Sinappore, 2016.
- [2] R. Alvarez-Nodarse, *On characterizations of classical polynomials*, J. Comput. Appl. Math. **196** (2006) 320–337.
- [3] M.H. Annaby, A.E. Hamza, K.A. Aldwoah, *Hahn difference operator and associated Jackson–Norlund integrals*, J. Optim. Theory Appl. **154** (2012) 133–153.
- [4] M.H. Annaby, Z.S. Mansour, *q-Taylor and interpolation series for Jackson q-difference operators*, J. Math. Anal. Appl. **344** (2008) 472–483.
- [5] A.M.C. Brito da Cruz, *Symmetric Quantum Calculus*, Ph.D. Thesis, Universidade de Aveiro, Portugal, 2012.

Ramniranjan Jhunjhunwala College, Ghatkopar (W), Mumbai-400086.

- [6] A.M.C. Brito da Cruz, N. Martins, *The q-symmetric variational calculus*, Comput. Math. Appl. **64** (2012) 2241–2250.
- [7] A.M.C. Brito da Cruz, N. Martins, D.F.M. Torres, *A symmetric quantum calculus*, In Differential and Difference Equations with Applications: Contributions from the International Conference on Differential & Difference Equations and Applications (2013) 359–366.
- [8] A.M.C. Brito da Cruz, N. Martins, *General quantum variational calculus*, Stat. Optim. Inf. Comput. **6** (2018) 22–41.
- [9] J.L. Cardoso, Variations around a general quantum operator, Ramanujan J. 54 (2021) 555–569.
- [10] J.L. Cardoso, A β -Sturm-Liouville problem associated with the general quantum operator, J. Differ. Equ. 27 (2021) 579–595.
- [11] R.S. Costas-Santos, F. Marcellan, Second structure relation for q-semiclassical polynomials of the Hahn Tableau, J. Math. Anal. Appl. **329** (2007) 206–228.
- [12] R.S. Costas-Santos, F. Marcellan, *q-Classical orthogonal polynomials: A general difference calculus approach*, Acta Appl. Math. **111** (2020) 107–128.
- [13] A. Dobrogowska, A. Odzijewicz, Second order q-difference equations solvable by factorization method, Comput. Appl. Math. **193** (2006) 319–346.
- [14] S. Elaydi, *An Introduction to Difference Equations*, Third Edition, Springer International Publishing, New York, 2008.
- [15] T. Ernst, A Comprehensive Treatment of q-Calculus, Springer Science & Business Media, New York, 2012.
- [16] N. Faried, E.M. Shehata, R.M. El Zafarani, *Theory of nth-order linear general quantum difference equations*, Adv. Differ. Equ. **2018** (2018) 264.
- [17] N. Faried, E.M. Shehata, R.M.El Zafarani, *Quantum exponential functions in a Banach algebra*, J. Fixed Point Theory Appl. **22** (2020) 22.
- [18] S. Goldberg, Introduction to Difference Equations: With Illustrative Examples from Economics, Psychology, and Sociology, Dover Publications, Inc., New York, 1986.
- [19] A.E. Hamza, AS.M. Sarhan, E.M. Shehata, K.A. Aldwoah, *A general quantum difference calculus*, Adv. Differ. Equ. **2015** (2015) 182.
- [20] A.E. Hamza, E.M. Shehata, *Some inequalities based on a general quantum difference operator*, J. Inequal. Appl. **2015** (2015) 38.
- [21] A.E. Hamza, E.M. Shehata, Existence and uniqueness of solutions of general quantum difference equations, Adv. Dyn. Syst. Appl. 11 (2016) 45–58.

Certified as TRUE COPY



Taylor's formula for general quantum calculus

- 505
- [22] A.E. Hamza, E.M. Shehata, P. Agarwal, *Leibnizs rule and Fubinis theorem associated with a general quantum difference operator*, In Computational Mathematics and Variational Analysis, (121–134). Cham: Springer International Publishing, 2020.
- [23] V.G. Kac, P. Cheung, Quantum Calculus, Springer, New York, 2002.
- [24] A.O. Karim, E.M. Shehata, J.L. Cardoso, *The directional derivative in general quantum calculus*, Symmetry **14** (2022) 1766.
- [25] W.G. Kelley, A.C. Peterson, *Difference Equations: An Introduction with Applications*, Academic press, San Diego, 2001.
- [26] H. Levy, F. Lessman, Finite Difference Equations, Dover Publications, Inc., New York, 1992.
- [27] A.B. Malinowska, D.F.M. Torres, *Quantum Variational Calculus*, Springer International Publishing, New York, 2014.
- [28] R.E. Mickens, *Difference Equations: Theory, Applications and Advanced Topics*, Third Edition, CRC Press, Boca Raton, 2015.
- [29] K. Oraby, A.E. Hamza, *Taylor theory associated with Hahn difference operator*, J. Inequal. Appl. **2020** 124 (2020).
- [30] AS.M. Sarhan, E.M. Shehata, On the fixed points of certain types of functions for constructing associated calculi, J. Fixed Point Theory Appl. 20 (2018) 124.
- [31] E.M. Shehata, N. Faried, R.M. El Zafarani, *A general quantum Laplace transform*, Adv. Differ. Equ. **2020** (2020) 613.
- [32] E.M. Shehata, R.M. El Zafarani, A β -Convolution theorem associated with the general quantum difference operator, J. Funct. Spaces **2022** (2022) 1581362.
- [33] E.M. Shehata, N. Faried, R.M. El Zafarani, *Stability of first order linear general quantum difference equations in a Banach algebra*, Appl. Math. **16** (2022) 101–108.
- [34] L. Verde-Star, Characterization and construction of classical orthogonal polynomials using a matrix approach, Linear Algebra Appl. **438** (2013) 3635–3648.